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#### Abstract

At present, the intense competition in many industries has made many companies aware of the costs and resources utilization within the organization. The key issue that all companies take into account is cost reduction while maintaining and building business process improvement in order to satisfy customers' requirements.

The purpose of this research was to study the model of Activity-Based Costing (ABC) and Activity-Based Management (ABM) as an alternative option to calculate the product cost of the traditional costing system which provides more reasonable cost estimation and enhances resource and activity management. The data of three selected products were collected for the study from the existing production activities and support production activities to compute the product cost according to the ABC method. Then, appropriate improvement solutions were proposed to manage resources and activities. The results of the research showed that the proposed improvement action can help the company minimize the wasted resources that are expended on non-value added activity. This solution can save over 1 million Thai Baht per annum in the labor cost of the maintenance department. The findings indicate the important implications for Alexa, the focus company, to understand the cause and effect relationship between cost, activity, and product, which provides a perspective of the cost driver of the manufacturing costs and the solutions for saving costs in the organization.
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## บทคัดย่อ

ในปัจจุบันนี้การแข่งขันที่รุนแรงในหลายอุตสาหกรรมทำให้หลายบริษัทตระหนักถึงค่าใช้จ่าย และการใช้ทรัพยากร ภายในองค์กรมากขึ้น ประเด็นสำคัญที่ทุกบริษัทคำนึงถึงคือการลดต้นทุน แต่ในขณะเดียวกันก็ต้องรักษาและปรับปรุง กระบวนการทางธุรกิจเพื่อตอบสนองความต้องการของลูกค้า

[^0]การวิจัยครั้งนี้มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อศึกษารูปแบบระบบต้นทุนฐานกิจกรรม ( ABC ) และการบริหารกิจกรรม ( ABM ) เพื่อ เป็นทางเลือกหนึ่งในการคำนวณต้นทุนผลิตภัณฑ์ของระบบต้นทุนรูปแบบเดิม ซึ่งให้การประมาณต้นทุนที่เหมาะสม กว่า อีกทั้งยังช่วยเพิ่มการจัดการทรัพยากรและกิจกรรม ข้อมูลสามผลิตภัณฑ์ที่เลือกมาใช้ในการศึกษานั้น ถูกเก็บ รวบรวมจากกิจกรรมการผลิตและกิจกรรมสนับสนุนการผลิตในปัจจุบัน เพื่อคำนวณต้นทุนผลิตภัณฑ์ตามวิธี ABC จากนั้นจึงเสนอวิธีการปรับปรุงเพื่อจัดการทรัพยากรและกิจกรรมที่เหมาะสม ผลการวิจัยพบว่าการปรับปรุงที่เสนอจะ ช่วยให้บริษัทสามารถลดการใช้ทรัพยากรที่สิ้นเปลืองในกิจกรรมที่ไม่เพิ่มมูลค่า ซึ่งวิธีแก้ปัญหาที่เสนอสามารถ ประหขัดค่าแรงในแผนกซ่อมบำรุงมากกว่า 1 ล้านบาทต่อปี

ผลการวิจัยนี้ชี้ให้เห็นถึงผลกระทบที่สำคัญสำหรับ บริษัท Alexa เพื่อความเข้าใจในความสัมพันธ์ของสาเหตุและ ผลลัพธ์ระหว่าง ต้นทุน กิจกรรม และผลิตภัณฑ์ ซึ่งให้มุมมองเกี่ยวกับตัวผลักดันต้นทุนในต้นทุนการผลิตและแนวทาง สำหรับการประหยัดค่าใช้จ่ายในองค์กร

## INTRODUCTION

The Alexa Company Ltd was established in the 1960s. The company mainly manufactures and distributes cement-based products, with an experience of more than 50 years. The company creates innovation in building material products and is one of the major players in the cement industry in Thailand.

## Statement of the Problems

For its production costs, the company currently used only the traditional costing method which allocated factory overhead costs by using a volume-based method which gave equal weight to all the units produced. However, it is uncertain that all products consume overhead cost equally. Therefore, the researcher considers another calculation method that can provide insightful information of the workings in the organization and understand the cause and effect relationship of costs, activities and products. This would increase the accuracy of the cost information and decrease the unnecessary cost of some working activities. This research attempts to answer the question, "How can ABC and ABM methods help to find the cost drivers of the manufacturing costs and reduce the operation costs?" The research has mainly focused on the current operation of production activities, and calculates the manufacturing costs of Alexa Company with an emphasis on Factory A and product models 405, 406 and 407, by using annual historical data from January-December 2017.

## REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

## Traditional Costing System

The traditional costing system is an accounting method that is easy to calculate product cost, and is widely used in many companies rather than the ABC system which provides simplicity and a convenient way to allocate costs by assuming all cost related to the number of units produced, and assumes that each product item consumes an equal amount of overhead cost (Hansen \& Mowen, 2006).

## Activity-Based Costing (ABC)

Kaplan and Cooper developed the ABC system in 1988. It was a new technique to provides more reasonable cost estimation based on actual performance and activities, together with solving the problem of increasing overhead cost in modern manufacturing organizations (Ozbayrak, Akgun, \& Turker, 2004; Hofmann \& Bosshard, 2017). The ABC system was a method that identified activities used, then assigning activities costs for producing products or services to manage the organizational expenses (Stapleton, Pati, Beach, \& Julmanichiti, 2004; Rothberg, 2011).

## Activity-Based Management (ABM)

ABM linked the activity-based costing analysis and value-added analysis together to identify the opportunities for process improvement. ABM takes a further step from ABC information by relating to value added and non-value added activities to reduce wasted resource. Organizations could create more value either by savings from that wasteful spending on non-value added activities or by rotating wasted resources to value-added activities instead (Hilton, Maher, \& Selto, 2003).

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The researcher employed a four-step methodology. The first step was to observe the processes, and to interview people about the manufacturing process, as well as collecting the company's historical financial data. The second step was using the proposed model by applying the ABC approach to appraise the cost of the organization activity. The third step was using the ABM approach to find the nonvalue added activities and the improvement solutions. The final step was the conclusion of all findings.

## Data Collection

The researcher collected all relevant financial documents from the company's database and interviewed key people involved in the work processes to understand and identify in detail the current operations of work activities.

## The ABC Model

There are three steps involved in this case study.
Step 1: Identify and classify the activities related to the company's products
In the first step of applying an ABC system, the researcher made a list of all activities that the company performs to produce the product. Then, the researcher assigned the department cost centers to determine the main activities and sub-activities to specify the activity cost driver and calculate the activity cost concerning expenses and costs incurred from individual activities. The activity cost drivers are any factors that influence the change of the activity's cost to increase or decrease. The activity costs in the ABC approach are categorized based on the different types of cost drivers utilized (Table 1).

Table 1: List of Activities and Cost Driver

| Operation Sector | Activity Type | Department Cost Center | Main Activity | Sub-Activity or Activity Cost Pools | Activities Cost Drivers | Activity Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant operation | Production activities | Production dept. | Manufacture | Mixing materials | Machine hours | Unit |
|  |  |  |  | Molding materials | Machine hours | Unit |
|  |  |  |  | Curing products | Machine hours | Unit |
|  |  |  |  | Ejecting product from the mold | Labor <br> hours | Unit |
|  |  |  |  | Packing finished goods | Number of packages received | Batch |
|  | Support production activities | Maintenance dept. | Repair and maintenance | Setting up machine | Number of setups | Batch |
|  |  |  |  | Reviewing and preparing the equipment at scheduled | Number of prepared parts | Unit |
|  |  |  |  | Repairing machine during production hour | Repair hours | Unit |
|  |  |  |  | Developing the capabilities of production | Developing hours | Unit |
|  |  | Quality control dept. | Measure and control the product quality | Inspecting and testing quality of raw materials | Number of tests | Batch |
|  |  |  |  | Inspecting and testing quality manufactured products | Number of tests | Batch |
|  |  |  |  | Report and follow the problem | Number of reports | Batch |

Table 1: List of Activities and Cost Driver (Cont.)

| Operation Sector | Activity Type | Department Cost Center | Main Activity | Sub-Activity or Activity Cost Pools | Activities Cost Drivers | Activity Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office operation | Support production activities | Transportation dept. | Manage delivery of finished goods | Check and issue picking list | Number of picking lists | Batch |
|  |  |  |  | Load up finished goods to transport truck | Number of truckloads | Batch |
|  |  |  |  | Deliver goods to customers | Number of deliveries | Batch |
|  |  | Warehouse dept. | Control inventory | Receive finished goods from production dept. | Number of pallet moves | Batch |
|  |  |  |  | Keep and store finished goods by forklift | Number of pallet moves | Batch |
|  |  |  |  | Prepare and send finished goods according to picking list | Number of pallet moves | Batch |
|  |  | Purchasing dept. | Manage purchasing as required | Issue purchase requisition | Number of purchase requisition | Batch |
|  |  |  |  | Record and follow the purchased goods | Number of withdraw/ requisition slips | Batch |
|  |  | Production planning dept. | Planning demand for materials, labor and machinery | Scheduling and controlling production process | Number of analysis reports | Batch |

Step 2: Assign resource costs to activities
The assignment of the resource costs to activities can be done by direct tracing and estimation. The costs that use direct tracing measure the activities by the actual usage of resources. However, if the direct measurement is not available, the researcher needs to estimate this by applying the percentage of people and time that workers spend on each activity.

1) Estimate the cost of activities

Direct tracing can be used to measure the activities cost because the production activities determine the employee's responsibility fixed in each workstation. The production expenses can be tracked by the actual usage from the materials and spare parts withdrawal records which are taken from the storage or warehouse. The records have been separated by the workstation (Table 2).

## Table 2: The Costs of Production Activities

| Department <br> Costs for <br> Production <br> Activities | Total Cost <br> (THB) | Mixing <br> materials | Molding <br> materials | Curing <br> products | Ejecting <br> product <br> from the <br> mold | Packing <br> finished <br> goods |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Model 405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct labor | $10,094,770$ | $2,202,953$ | $4,405,906$ | - | $2,937,271$ | 548,640 |
| Production exp. | $8,392,216$ | 165,949 | $5,412,955$ | - | 205,240 | $2,608,073$ |
| Utilities | $7,672,547$ | $2,167,391$ | $4,912,752$ | 14,433 | 433,478 | 144,493 |
| Total | $16,064,763$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model 406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct labor | $7,014,190$ | $1,530,033$ | $3,060,066$ |  | - | $2,040,044$ |
| Production exp. | $4,394,207$ | 98,182 | $2,373,159$ |  | 384,048 |  |
| Utilities | $6,020,834$ | $1,517,173$ | $3,438,926$ | 660,155 | 303,435 | 101,145 |
| Total | $10,415,041$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model 407 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct labor | $2,053,353$ | 435,868 | 871,736 |  | - | 581,157 |
| Production exp. | $1,605,689$ | 43,201 | 742,204 |  | 164,592 |  |
| Utilities | $2,370,805$ | 650,217 | $1,473,826$ | 73,371 | 130,043 | 43,348 |
| Total | $3,976,494$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Company Data
However, for the support production activities it was difficult to measure or trace the workers' salaries and supply expenses to the activities cost directly. The employees may need to be responsible for various activities in their department. Therefore, the researcher needed to gather the department expenses, usage report and working report, then interview those who work in the related area to estimate the percentage of the cost of the support production activities (Table 3).

Table 3: The Matrix of Costs by Departments and Support Production Activities

| Department Costs for Support Production Activities | Total cost (THB) | Setting up machine |  | Reviewing and preparing the equipment at scheduled |  | Repairing machine during production hour |  | Developing the capabilities of production |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 7,252,075 | 7\% | 507,645 | 38\% | 2,755,789 | 34\% | 2,465,706 | 21\% | 1,522,936 |
| Parts and equipment expense | 2,977,683 | 2\% | 59,554 | 69\% | 2,054,602 | 13\% | 387,099 | 16\% | 476,429 |
| Transportation dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 5,098,412 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 3,568,342 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Warehouse dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 3,991,356 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 2,124,703 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Purchasing dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 3,696,466 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 22,910 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Production plan dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 344,818 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 32,398 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Quality control dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 315,650 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 27,193 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Total | 29,452,006 |  | 567,199 |  | 4,810,391 |  | 2,852,805 |  | 1,999,365 |

Table 3: Matrix of Costs by Department and Support Production Activities (Cont.)

| Department Costs for Support Production Activities | Total cost (THB) | Inspecting and testing quality of raw materials |  | Inspecting and testing quality manufactured products |  | Report and follow the problem |  | Check and issue picking list |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 7,252,075 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Parts and equipment expense | 2,977,683 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Transportation dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 5,098,412 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 23\% | 1,172,635 |
| Supplies expense | 3,568,342 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 3\% | 107,050 |
| Warehouse dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 3,991,356 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 2,124,703 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Purchasing dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 3,696,466 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 22,910 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Production plan dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 344,818 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 32,398 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Quality control dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 315,650 | 9\% | 28,409 | 74\% | 233,581 | 17\% | 53,661 | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 27,193 | 4\% | 1,088 | 93\% | 25,290 | 3\% | 816 | 0\% | - |
| Total | 29,452,006 |  | 29,496 |  | 258,871 |  | 54,476 |  | 1,279,685 |

Table 3: Matrix of Costs by Departments and Support Production Activities (Cont.)

| Department Costs for Support Production Activities | Total cost (THB) | Load up finished goods to transport truck |  | Deliver goods to customers |  | Receive finished goods from production dept. |  | Keep and store finished goods by forklift |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 7,252,075 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Parts and equipment expense | 2,977,683 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Transportation dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 5,098,412 | 48\% | 2,447,238 | 29\% | 1,478,539 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 3,568,342 | 6\% | 214,101 | 91\% | 3,247,191 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Warehouse dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 3,991,356 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 45\% | 1,796,110 | 31\% | 1,237,320 |
| Supplies expense | 2,124,703 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 34\% | 722,399 | 47\% | 998,611 |
| Purchasing dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 3,696,466 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 22,910 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Production plan dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 344,818 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 32,398 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Quality control dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 315,650 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 27,193 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Total | 29,452,006 |  | 2,661,339 |  | 4,725,730 |  | 2,518,509 |  | 2,235,931 |

## Table 3: Matrix of Costs by Departments and Support Production Activities (Cont.)

| Department Costs for Support Production Activities | Total cost (THB) | Prepare and send finished goods according to picking list |  | Issue purchase requisition |  | Record and follow the purchased goods |  | Scheduling and controlling production process |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 7,252,075 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Parts and equipment expense | 2,977,683 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Transportation dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 5,098,412 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 3,568,342 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Warehouse dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 3,991,356 | 24\% | 957,926 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 2,124,703 | 19\% | 403,694 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Purchasing dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 3,696,466 | 0\% | - | 22\% | 813,223 | 78\% | 2,883,243 | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense |  | 0\% | - | 14\% | 3,207 | 86\% | 19,703 | 0\% | - |
| Production plan dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 344,818 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 100\% | 344,818 |
| Supplies expense | 32,398 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 100\% | 32,398 |
| Quality control dept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage-Salary | 315,650 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Supplies expense | 27,193 | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | - |
| Total | 29,452,006 |  | 1,361,620 |  | 816,430 |  | 2,902,946 |  | 377,216 |

2) Calculate a cost driver rate for the activity

The activity cost driver rate is a calculation which reveals the per unit activity cost for further calculation of the product cost. To calculate the activity cost driver rate of the ABC system: the total cost of each activity cost pool is divided by the number of units of the activity cost drivers to determine the cost per unit of an activity (Table 4).

Table 4: The Calculation of Activity Cost Driver Rate

| Activity | Activity Cost Driver | Activity <br> Cost <br> (THB) | Driver <br> Quantity | Activity Cost <br> Driver Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Setting up machine | Number of setups | 567,199 | 786.50 <br> setups | B721.1685/setup |
| Reviewing and preparing the <br> equipment at scheduled | Number of prepared <br> parts | $4,810,390$ | 2,704 <br> parts | B1,778.9904/part |
| Repairing machine during <br> production hour | Repair hours | $2,852,804$ | 1,416 <br> hours | B2,014.6921/hour |
| Developing the capabilities of <br> production | Developing hours | $1,999,365$ | 1,248 <br> hours | B1,602.0553/hour |
| Inspecting and testing quality <br> of raw materials | Number of tests | 29,496 | 399 <br> tests | B73.9248/test |
| Inspecting and testing quality <br> manufactured products | Number of tests | 258,871 | 2,944 <br> tests | B87.9317/test |
| Report and follow the problem | Number of reports | 54,476 | 4,800 <br> reports | B11.3492/report |
| Check and issue picking list | Number of picking <br> lists | $1,279,685$ | 10,689 <br> picking <br> lists | B119.7198/ |
| picking list |  |  |  |  |

Step 3: Assign activity costs to products
The final step is to allocate support production activity costs to the products which trace overhead costs to products through activities. The activity costs are assigned to selected products by multiplying the activity cost driver rate by the amount of the activity consumption cost driver required to complete the models 405,406 and 407 , as in the following Table 5.

Table 5: The Estimation of Activity-Based Cost to Product

| Activity | Activity Cost Driver Rate <br> (A) | Model 405 |  | Model 406 |  | Model 407 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quantity of Activity Cost Driver (B) | Activity Cost (THB) $(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})$ | Quantity of Activity Cost Driver (C) | Activity Cost (THB) $(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C})$ | Quantity of Activity Cost Driver (D) | Activity Cost (THB) $(\mathbf{A} \times \mathrm{D})$ |
| Setting up machine | B721.1685/setup | 445 | 320,919.97 | 239 | 172,359.26 | 102.5 | 73,919.77 |
| Reviewing and preparing the equipment at scheduled | в1,778.9904/part | 1458 | 2,593,767.98 | 749 | 1,332,463.80 | 497 | 884,158.22 |
| Repairing machine during production hour | B2,014.6921/hour | 862 | 1,736,664.58 | 369 | 743,421.38 | 185 | 372,718.04 |
| Developing the capabilities of production | B1,602.0553/hour | 382 | 611,985.12 | 742 | 1,188,725.02 | 124 | 198,654.86 |
| Inspecting and testing quality of raw materials | в $73.9248 /$ test | 210 | 15,524.21 | 147 | 10,866.95 | 42 | 3,104.84 |
| Inspecting and testing quality manufactured products | ¢87.9317/test | 1390 | 122,225.10 | 1054 | 92,680.04 | 500 | 43,965.86 |
| Report and follow the problem | ${ }_{\text {B1 } 11.3492 / r e p o r t ~}^{\text {d }}$ | 2529 | 28,702.04 | 1768 | 20,065.33 | 503 | 5,708.63 |
| Check and issue picking list | B119.7198/ <br> picking list | 9034 | 1,081,548.72 | 1180 | 141,269.37 | 475 | 56,866.91 |
| Load up finished goods to transport truck | B488.0502/truckload | 4836 | 2,360,211.00 | 510 | 248,905.63 | 107 | 52,221.38 |
| Deliver goods to customers | B1,321.5131/ <br> delivery | 3200 | 4,227,520.55 | 298 | 393,810.92 | 79 | 104,399.54 |
| Receive finished goods from production dept. | B935.2057/move | 1818 | 1,700,204.00 | 717 | 670,542.50 | 158 | 147,762.50 |
| Keep and store finished goods by forklift | \&259.1482/move | 6188 | 1,603,609.30 | 2025 | 524,775.18 | 415 | 107,546.52 |
| Prepare and send finished goods according to picking list | ${ }_{\text {B1 }}$ 106.1029/pick | 8555 | 907,710.63 | 2415 | 256,238.59 | 1863 | 197,669.77 |
| Issue purchase requisition | ${ }_{\text {B } 1012.9404 / p r ~}^{\text {c }}$ | 448 | 453,797.32 | 269 | 272,480.98 | 89 | 90,151.70 |
| Record and follow the purchased goods | B172.7636/slip | 9391 | 1,622,422.54 | 5182 | 895,260.74 | 2230 | 385,262.73 |
| Scheduling and controlling production process | ${ }_{\text {B1 }} 117.7328 /$ reports | 1185 | 139,513.41 | 828 | 97,482.79 | 1191 | 140,219.81 |
| Total |  |  | 19,526,326.46 |  | 7,061,348.47 |  | 2,864,331.07 |

After evaluating the cost of products according to the ABC method, the researcher compared the results of the calculation to highlight the difference between two types of production costs and considered the actual factory overhead consumptions of the three products.

Table 6: The Comparison Between the Proportion of Production Cost
between Traditional Cost and Activity-Based Cost

| Product | Direct materials (THB) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Direct } \\ & \text { labor } \\ & \text { (THB) } \end{aligned}$ | Factory overhead (THB) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Traditional (THB) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ABC } \\ \text { (THB) } \end{gathered}$ | Different |
| Model 405 | 118,958,682 | 10,094,770 | 46,792,397 | 35,591,089.46 | (11,201,307.54) |
| Model 406 | 33,576,570 | 7,014,190 | 10,750,028 | 17,476,389.47 | 6,726,361.47 |
| Model 407 | 12,797,160 | 2,053,353 | 2,365,879 | 6,840,825.07 | 4,474,946.07 |
| Total | 165,332,412 | 19,162,313 | 59,908,304 | 59,908,304 | 0 |

It was found that the factory overhead of the product model 405 decreased by $11,201,307$ baht. On the other hand, the factory overhead of the product models 406 and 407 increased by $6,726,361$ baht and $4,474,946$ baht respectively. As a result, this would imply that model 405 (which was a high-volume product) was overcharged for factory overhead costs. Conversely, low-volume product models 406 and 407 were significantly undercharged for factory overhead costs.

## FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The findings show that the highest number of the activity cost driver rate is repairing machines during production hours in the maintenance department which is 2,014.69 baht per hour, as in Table 4. After analyzing the value of the resources performed within this activity, it found that there were some excessive manpower costs for not using a full workforce and having a standby time which provides the area of improvement in an existing process to reduce unnecessary positions, as shown on Figure 1.

Figure 1: Value Matrix of Value Added and Non-Value Added Activities


The data set shows that the occurrence of the repairing event is quite predictable. The peak period that often occurs during the repairing activity is in the morning from eight o'clock until noon (Figure 2). Therefore, what if, the company can adjust the working hour and labor force to match with the frequency of occurrence of downtime? The company would be able to mitigate the non-productive time.

Figure 2: Histogram of the Frequency in Repairing Machine Hours


Under this recommendation, the maintenance manager should rearrange a schedule of technicians to suit the repair activities by assigning three work shifts, team $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C as shown on Figure 3. The present working schedule of repairing activity was assigned two work shifts and each shift was assigned seven people which had total fourteen technicians and 168 work hours. Basing on the recommended working hours, only 99 hours with eleven technicians will be required. The researcher will be able to help the company save the labor costs of an excess workforce, which means that the labor cost in the maintenance department of repairing machine activity will decrease from $2,465,706$ baht to $1,453,040$ baht per year.

Figure 3: Recommendation to Rearrange Work Scheduling for Repair Machine Activity


## CONCLUSION

The research results of using the ABC method demonstrated the effectiveness of using the cost driver of each individual activity to figure out the cost consumption of resource and activity utilization. It not only demonstrated an in-depth study of overhead cost according to actual performance and activity but also provided the true cost of the products. Moreover, the findings of this research identified that the repairing machine activity consumed the highest cost driver rate. Thus, the researcher will recommend the solution for minimizing non-cost effectiveness of repairing the machine activity in order to reduce standby time by rearranging the work schedule. This will help the company save the excess labor costs of approximately one million baht per year.
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